

2/22/10 Lecture 14 outline

- Last time:

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \mu} + \beta(\lambda_R) \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_R} + \gamma_m m_R \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln m_R} - n\gamma \right) \tilde{\Gamma}_R^{(n)}(p_1, \dots, p_n; \lambda_R, m_R, \mu) = 0$$

Here

$$\beta(\lambda) \equiv \frac{d}{d \ln \mu} \lambda_R$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d \ln \mu} \ln Z_\phi$$

$$\gamma_m = \frac{d \ln m_R}{d \ln \mu}.$$

- Understand what  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  mean: the bare quantities are some function of the renormalized ones and epsilon. E.g. for  $\lambda\phi^4$  in MS we have

$$\lambda_B = \mu^\epsilon (\lambda + \delta_\lambda) \equiv \mu^\epsilon \lambda Z_\lambda$$

Let us write

$$Z_\lambda \equiv 1 + \sum_k a_k(\lambda) \epsilon^{-k},$$

where we found  $a_1(\lambda) = +3\lambda/16\pi^2$  to one loop. The bare parameter  $\lambda_B$  is independent of  $\mu$ , whereas  $\lambda$  depends on  $\mu$ , such that the above relation holds. Take  $d/d \ln \mu$  of both sides,

$$0 = \epsilon \lambda Z_\lambda + \beta(\lambda, \epsilon) Z_\lambda + \beta(\lambda, \epsilon) \lambda \frac{dZ_\lambda}{d\lambda}.$$

Using the above expansion for  $Z_\lambda$  and requiring that  $\beta(\lambda, \epsilon)$  be regular at  $\epsilon = 0$ , so  $\beta(\lambda, \epsilon) = \beta(\lambda) + \sum_n \beta_n \epsilon^n$ , gives

$$\beta(\lambda, \epsilon) = -\epsilon \lambda + \beta(\lambda)$$

$$\beta(\lambda) = \lambda^2 \frac{da_1}{d\lambda}$$

$$\lambda^2 \frac{da_{k+1}}{d\lambda} = \beta(\lambda) \frac{d}{d\lambda} (\lambda a_k).$$

The beta function is determined entirely from  $a_1$ . The  $a_{k>1}$  are also entirely determined by  $a_1$ . In  $k$ -th order in perturbation theory, the leading pole goes like  $1/\epsilon^k$ .

We find for  $\lambda\phi^4$

$$\beta(\lambda) = \frac{3\lambda^2}{16\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3).$$

Integrating, this gives

$$\lambda = \lambda_0 \left( 1 - \frac{3}{16\pi^3} \lambda_0 \ln(\mu/\mu_0) \right)^{-1}.$$

- We similarly have

$$\gamma_\phi(\lambda, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d \ln \mu} \ln Z_\phi$$

where

$$Z_\phi = 1 + \sum_k Z_\phi^{-k}(\lambda) \epsilon^{-k}.$$

So

$$\gamma_\phi(\lambda, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \beta(\lambda, \epsilon) \frac{d}{d \lambda} \ln Z_\phi.$$

Using  $\beta(\lambda, \epsilon) = -\epsilon \lambda + \beta(\lambda)$ , we get

$$\gamma_\phi = -\frac{1}{2} \lambda \frac{d}{d \lambda} Z_\phi^{(1)}.$$

We similarly have  $m_B^2 = (m^2 + \delta_{m^2}) Z_\phi^{-1} \equiv Z_m m^2$  and

$$\gamma_m(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d \ln m^2}{d \ln \mu} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d \ln Z_m}{d \ln \mu} = -\frac{1}{2} \beta \frac{d \ln Z_m}{d \lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda \frac{d Z_m^{(1)}}{d \lambda}$$

where  $Z_m^{(1)}$  means the coefficient of  $1/\epsilon$ . In all these cases, only the coefficient of  $1/\epsilon$  matters.

In particular, for  $\lambda \phi^4$  we have

$$\gamma_m(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \lambda \frac{d Z_m^{(1)}}{d \lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} - \frac{5}{12} \frac{\lambda^2}{6(16\pi^2)^2} + \dots$$

where  $Z_m^{(1)}$  means the coefficient of  $1/\epsilon$  and  $\dots$  are higher orders in perturbation theory, and

$$\gamma_\phi = -\frac{1}{2} \lambda \frac{d}{d \lambda} Z_\phi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{12} \frac{\lambda^2}{(16\pi^2)^2} + \dots$$

For any gauge invariant field  $\phi$ , we always have  $\gamma_\phi \geq 0$ , where  $\gamma_\phi = 0$  iff it is a free field. This follows from the spectral decomposition result that  $Z \leq 1$ .

- Note:  $\beta > 0$  means the coupling is small in the IR, and large in the UV. Such theories are “not asymptotically free” or are “IR free.” Most theories are like this, e.g.  $\lambda \phi^4$ , QED, Yukawa interactions. If  $\beta < 0$ , then the coupling is small in the UV, and large in the IR. Such theories are “asymptotically free,” only non-Abelian gauge theories, like QCD, are like that.