

★ Reference: Georgi.

• Continue with $SU(3)$ and tensor methods. General $\mu_{max} = \ell_1\mu_1 + \ell_2\mu_2 = (\frac{1}{2}(\ell_1 + \ell_2), (\ell_1 - \ell_2)\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6})$. Draw weights, with $\ell_1 + 1$ on the side parallel to α_1 , and $\ell_2 + 1$ on the side parallel to α_2 . Degeneracy result: Going in from one layer to the next, the degeneracy of the weights in each layer increases by one each time until one reaches a triangular layer, after which the degeneracy remains constant. The total dimension of the (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) irrep is $\frac{1}{2}(\ell_1 + 1)(\ell_2 + 1)(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 2)$.

Write the $\mathbf{3}$ rep of $SU(3)$ as $|\frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{3}/6\rangle = |1\rangle, |-\frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{3}/6\rangle = |2\rangle$, and $|0, -1/\sqrt{3}\rangle = |3\rangle$. The generators act on these as $T_a|i\rangle = |j\rangle[T_a]_i^j$. Likewise define the $\bar{\mathbf{3}}$ with generators $-T^*$, so $|i\rangle$ states with $T_a|i\rangle = -|j\rangle[T_a]_i^j$. Now consider states $|v\rangle$ in the (n, m) tensor product, with basis elements $|_{j_1 \dots j_n}^{i_1 \dots i_m}\rangle$. Invariant tensors, $\delta_j^i, \epsilon_{ijk}, \epsilon^{ijk}$. So irreps are the (n, m) tensors with upper and lower indices each separately symmetrized, and will all traces subtracted out. Use this to get the dimension of the (n, m) irrep, $D(n, m) = B(n, m) - B(n-1, m-1)$, with $B(n, m) = \binom{n+2}{2} \binom{m+2}{2}$.

Useful for understanding tensor products, e.g. $u^i v^j$ and $u^i v_j$.

• Young tableaux. Write (n, m) irrep $A_{j_1 \dots j_m}^{i_1 \dots i_n}$ with all upper indices, raising each j via ϵ^{jkl} . Write as m columns having 2 boxes, and n with 1 box. Symmetrize in each row, then antisymmetrize in each column.

• Clebsch-Gordon decomposition, via Young tableaux. Put as in the top row and bs in the bottom. No two as or bs in same column and also, reading diagram Hebrew style, number of as must be greater or equal to number of bs . E.g. $(1, 1) \times (1, 1) = 8 \times 8 = (2, 2) + (3, 0) + (0, 3) + (1, 1) + (1, 1) + (0, 0) = 27 + 10 + \bar{10} + 8 + 8 + 1$.

The two $\mathbf{8}$ s on the RHS means that there are two independent ways to make a singlet from three adjoints, A, B, C . These can be thought of as $f \sim \text{Tr}(A[B, C])$ and $d \sim \text{Tr}(A\{B, C\})$, e.g. $f^{abc} \sim \text{Tr}(T^a[T^b, T^c])$, and $d^{abc} \sim \text{Tr}(T^a\{T^b, T^c\})$.

• Back to $SU(3)_F$. Form baryons from 3 quarks, $3 \times 3 \times 3 = 1 + 8 + 8 + 10$. The 8 are the spin $j = 1/2$ baryons, the P, N , and friends. The 10 are the $j = 3/2$ baryons, the $\Delta^{++,+,0,-}, \Sigma^{*+,0,-}, \Xi^{*0,-}, \Omega^-$. Recall $Q = T_3 + Y/2$ and $Y = B + S = 2T_8/\sqrt{3}$.

• Wigner-Eckart type analysis of mass splittings in the $SU(3)_F$ baryon multiplet,

$$B_j^i = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Sigma^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{6}} & \Sigma^+ & P \\ \Sigma^- & -\frac{\Sigma^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{6}} & N \\ \Xi^- & \Xi^0 & -2\Lambda/\sqrt{6} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$H \approx H_{VS} + H_{MS}$, where H_{VS} commutes with $SU(3)_F$ and $H_{MS} = [T_8]_j^i O^*_i$ transforms like the adjoint. Then $\langle B|H_{MS}|B \rangle$ involves two independent matrix elements, corresponding to the two 8s in 8×8 . So $\langle B|H_{MS}|B \rangle = X \text{Tr}(B^\dagger B T_8) + Y \text{Tr}(B^\dagger T_8 B)$. Implies the Gell-Mann-Okubo formula, $2(M_N + M_\Xi) = 3M_\Lambda + M_\Sigma$, which can be solved for M_Λ . Plugging in $M_N = 940$, $M_\Sigma = 1190$, $M_\Xi = 1320 \text{MeV}$, gave $M_\Lambda = 1110 \text{MeV}$, which was a prediction that turned out to be correct (less than 1% error).

- $SU(3)_C$ and quarks.

- General $SU(N)$. The simple roots, α_i with $\alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j = \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{i,j\pm 1}$. The fundamental \mathbf{N} has weights given by N vectors, each $N - 1$ dimensional, which can be written as ν_i with $\nu_i \cdot \nu_j = -\frac{1}{2N} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}$. The simple roots can be written in terms of these as $\alpha_i = \nu_i - \nu_{i-1}$. The fundamental weights are $\mu_j = \sum_{i=1}^j \nu_i$. The general irrep has highest weight $\mu = \sum_k q_k \mu_k$. This is represented by the Young tableau with q_k columns having k boxes. Again, the procedure is to first symmetrize in the rows and then antisymmetrize in the columns.

Find the dimension of the irrep by the factors over hooks rule, F/H . Recall for S_N the irreps can also be written as Young tableau, and there the dim of the irrep was $n!/H$.

- $SU(4)$ example, and relation to $SO(6)$.

- Approximate $SU(6)$ spin flavor symmetry. $SU(6)_{SF} \supset SU(3)_F \times SU(2)_S$ with $6 \rightarrow (3, 2)$. The baryons fit into the $56 \rightarrow (10, 4) + (8, 2)$, corresponding to being completely symmetric objects in the spin and flavor indices of three quarks. The Pauli principle complete antisymmetry comes upon including $SU(3)_C$, since they are all color singlets.

The magnetic moment $eq\vec{\sigma}/2m$ is in the adjoint of $SU(6)$, so consider matrix elements $\langle 56|35|56 \rangle$. Show there is a single 56 in 35×56 . So the magnetic moments are all related to each other, all can be determined from any one in the approximation where $SU(6)$ works. Implies e.g. $\mu_P/\mu_N = -3/2$, which is pretty close: $\mu_P \approx 2.79(e/2m_p)$ and $\mu_N \approx -1.91(e/2m_p)$. Understand from the quark model, e.g. $\mu_p \approx 3$ from the quarks.