

5/29/07 Lecture 16 outline

- Note that different components of the angular momentum don't commute! Angular momentum commutation relations $[L_x, L_y] = i\hbar L_z$ and cyclic permutations. Implies that we can't measure different components simultaneously.

- Convention is to diagonalize L_z . Show that $[L^2, L_z] = 0$, so can also diagonalize L^2 . Let's call their eigenkets $|\alpha, \beta\rangle$, where $L^2|\alpha, \beta\rangle = \alpha|\alpha, \beta\rangle$, and $L_z|\alpha, \beta\rangle = \beta|\alpha, \beta\rangle$. If we measure L^2 and L_z in an experiment, the eigenvalues α and β , respectively, are the only possible outcomes. The above angular momentum commutation relations constrain α and β , and implies that they are quantized.

- Classically, α could take any non-negative value, $\alpha \geq 0$. And classically, β can take any value, in the range $-\sqrt{\alpha} \leq \beta \leq \sqrt{\alpha}$, since the z component of the vector \vec{L} can't exceed $\pm|\vec{L}|$. We can prove these same inequalities in Q.M., and actually make them even stronger. To prove the inequalities, recall that for any ket $|\psi\rangle$, the bra-ket $\langle\psi|\psi\rangle \equiv ||\psi\rangle||^2 \geq 0$, with $||\psi\rangle||^2 \geq 0$ if and only if the ket vanishes, $|\psi\rangle = 0$. Moreover, for any operator A ,

$$\langle A^\dagger A \rangle \equiv \langle\psi|A^\dagger A|\psi\rangle \equiv ||A|\psi\rangle||^2 \geq 0,$$

again with equality iff $A|\psi\rangle = 0$. In QM we have $L_a^\dagger = L_a$, as is the case for all physical observables. So we see from the above that $\langle L_x^2 \rangle \geq 0$, and $\langle L_y^2 \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle L_z^2 \rangle \geq 0$. In particular, in the state $|\psi\rangle = |\alpha, \beta\rangle$, we have $\langle L^2 \rangle = \alpha \geq 0$, and also $\langle L_x^2 + L_y^2 \rangle = \langle L^2 - L_z^2 \rangle = \alpha - \beta^2 \geq 0$. So the classical inequalities are satisfied. But the classical inequality $\alpha - \beta^2 \geq 0$ is too weak in general: note that $\alpha - \beta^2 = \langle L_x^2 \rangle + \langle L_y^2 \rangle$, and we can't set both terms on the right hand side to zero, in general, because of the $[L_x, L_y] = i\hbar L_z$, which implies an uncertainty principle-like inequality for the product $\Delta L_x \Delta L_y$, saying that both can't vanish. To show this, and more, let's introduce the L_\pm operators.

- Raising and lowering operators (analogous to creation and annihilation operators in SHO): $L_\pm \equiv L_x \pm iL_y$, satisfy $[L_z, L_\pm] = \pm\hbar L_\pm$. It then follows that $L_\pm|\alpha, \beta\rangle \sim |\alpha, \beta \pm \hbar\rangle$. Note that $[L^2, L_\pm] = 0$, so L_\pm raise and lower the L_z component of angular momentum, but leave the magnitude L^2 of the angular momentum vector unchanged. It's like they rotate the \vec{L} vector to point more, or less, along the \hat{z} axis.

- Note $L_\pm L_\mp = L^2 - L_z^2 \pm \hbar L_z$, and that $L_\pm^\dagger = L_\mp$. So $\langle L_\pm L_\mp \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle L_\mp L_\pm \rangle \geq 0$ in any state. In particular, in the state $|\alpha, \beta\rangle$ we have

$$\langle L_+ L_- \rangle = \alpha - \beta^2 + \hbar\beta \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle L_- L_+ \rangle = \alpha - \beta^2 - \hbar\beta \geq 0,$$

where we've fixed the normalization by $\langle \alpha, \beta | \alpha, \beta \rangle = 1$. Note that this also determines the normalization in $L_{\pm} |\alpha, \beta\rangle \sim |\alpha, \beta \pm \hbar\rangle$:

$$L_{\pm} |\alpha, \beta\rangle = \sqrt{\alpha - \beta^2 \mp \hbar\beta} |\alpha, \beta \pm \hbar\rangle.$$

- But we saw that we can raise and lower β by acting on $|\alpha, \beta\rangle$ with L_{\pm} , which leaves α unchanged but takes $\beta \rightarrow \beta \pm \hbar$. If α and β were general numbers, we'd then violate the above inequalities. The only way to avoid this is if there is a β_{max} , such that $L_+ |\alpha, \beta_{max}\rangle = 0$, and a β_{min} such that $L_- |\alpha, \beta_{min}\rangle = 0$. It follows from the above then that $\alpha = \beta_{max}^2 + \beta_{max}\hbar = \beta_{min}^2 - \beta_{min}\hbar$. So $\beta_{min} = -\beta_{max}$. Moreover, must have that $L_-^N |\alpha, \beta_{max}\rangle \sim |\alpha, \beta_{max} - N\rangle$ must eventually vanish, so there is some integer N such that $\beta_{max} - N = \beta_{min}$, i.e. $2\beta_{max} = N$. So β_{max} can either be an integer or a half integer.

- For orbital angular momentum, $\beta_{max} \equiv \ell$ is an integer. Nature also use the half-integer possibility, in the context of spin: fermions have half-integer total angular momentum, given by $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$, where \vec{L} is the orbital part and \vec{S} is the spin part. Ignore \vec{S} for now, discuss it later.

- Instead of labeling the kets by α and β , label by ℓ and m , where $\alpha = \hbar^2 \ell(\ell + 1)$ and $\beta = \hbar m$, and m runs from ℓ to $-\ell$, in integer steps (so there are $2\ell + 1$ values of m):

$$L^2 |\ell, m\rangle = \hbar^2 \ell(\ell + 1) |\ell, m\rangle \quad L_z |\ell, m\rangle = m\hbar |\ell, m\rangle$$

and

$$L_{\pm} |\ell, m\rangle = \hbar \sqrt{\ell(\ell + 1) - m^2 \mp m} |\ell, m \pm 1\rangle.$$

- The $|\ell, m\rangle$ form a complete, orthonormal basis:

$$\langle \ell', m' | \ell, m \rangle = \delta_{\ell, \ell'} \delta_{m, m'} \quad \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} |\ell, m\rangle \langle \ell, m| = \mathbf{1}.$$

- Next time: Consider these kets in position space. Use spherical coordinates. The $|\ell, m\rangle$ states are independent of the radial coordinate, r ; they depend only on θ and ϕ . To see why, write $\vec{L} = \vec{x} \times \vec{p}$ in position space, by replacing $\vec{p} \rightarrow -i\hbar \nabla$. Converting to spherical coordinates, get

$$L_z \rightarrow -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \quad L_{\pm} \rightarrow \hbar e^{\pm i\phi} \left(\pm \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + i \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right)$$

and

$$L^2 \rightarrow -\hbar^2 \left[\frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \right].$$