

10/23/19 Lecture outline

• Last time: amplitudes in toy model of real mesons ϕ of mass μ and complex nucleons of mass m , with $H_{int} = -g\phi\bar{\psi}\psi$. Aside, quantize the nucleons as usual gives $[\psi(\vec{x}, t), \psi^\dagger(\vec{y}, t)] = i\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$. Consider $N + N \rightarrow N + N$, to $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$. The initial and final states are

$$|i\rangle = b^\dagger(p_1)b^\dagger(p_2)|0\rangle, \quad \langle f| = \langle 0|b(p'_1)b(p'_2).$$

The term that contributes to scattering at $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$ is (**don't forget the time ordering!**)

$$T \frac{(-ig)^2}{2!} \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \phi(x_1)\psi^\dagger(x_1)\psi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\psi^\dagger(x_2)\psi(x_2).$$

The term that contributes to $S - 1$ thus involves

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p'_1 p'_2 | : \psi^\dagger(x_1)\psi(x_1)\psi^\dagger(x_2)\psi(x_2) : | p_1 p_2 \rangle &= \langle p'_1 p'_2 | : \psi^\dagger(x_1)\psi^\dagger(x_2) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \psi(x_1)\psi(x_2) | p_1, p_2 \rangle. \\ &= \left(e^{i(p'_1 x_1 + p'_2 x_2)} + e^{i(p'_1 x_2 + p'_2 x_1)} \right) \left(e^{-i(p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2)} + e^{-i(p_1 x_2 + p_2 x_1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The amplitude involves this times $D_F(x_1 - x_2)$ (from the contraction), with the prefactor and integrals as above. The final result is

$$i(-ig)^2 \left[\frac{1}{(p_1 - p'_1)^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{(p_1 - p'_2)^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} \right] (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p'_1 - p'_2).$$

Explicitly, in the CM frame, $p_1 = (\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}, p\hat{e})$ and $p_2 = (\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}, -p\hat{e})$, $p'_1 = (\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}, p\hat{e}')$, $p'_2 = (\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}, -p\hat{e}')$, where $\hat{e} \cdot \hat{e}' = \cos \theta$, and get

$$\mathcal{A} = g^2 \left(\frac{1}{2p^2(1 - \cos \theta) + \mu^2} + \frac{1}{2p^2(1 + \cos \theta) + \mu^2} \right).$$

According to the above, $[\mathcal{A}(2 \rightarrow 2)] = 0$ and the above is consistent with that. Good.

Note also that the amplitude is symmetric if we exchange $p_1^\mu \leftrightarrow p_2^\mu$ and likewise for the outgoing states. This fits with the fact that the N states are identical bosons, which follows from the fact that $[\psi(t, \vec{x}), \psi(t, \vec{y})] = 0$. As we'll discuss later, identical fermions instead have $\{\psi(t, \vec{x}), \psi(t, \vec{y})\} = 0$.

• Mandelstam variables for $p_1 + p_2 \rightarrow p'_1 + p'_2$ scattering: $s = (p_1 + p_2)^2$, $t = (p_1 - p'_1)^2$, $u = (p_1 - p'_2)^2$, with $s + t + u = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_1'^2 + m_2'^2$. In CM, $s = 4E^2$, $t = -2p^2(1 - \cos \theta)$, and $u = -2p^2(1 + \cos \theta)$.

- Recall how we got the above answer. We expand $\exp(-ig \int d^4x \mathcal{H})$ and compute the time ordered expectation values using Wick's theorems, with the contractions giving factors of $D_F(x_1 - x_2)$. Doing this, we get a $\int d^4x$ for each factor of $-ig$ and a d^4k for each internal contraction. Draw a picture in position space. Let E be the number of external lines, i.e. the number of incoming + outgoing particles. (We saw last time that $[\mathcal{A}] = 4 - E$.) It is easier to think about everything in momentum space. Then the $\int d^4x$ for each vertex gives a $(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_{total, in})$.

- Feynman rules! Each vertex gets $(-ig)(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_{total in})$, each internal line gets $\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} D_F(k^2)$, where D_F is the propagator, e.g. $D_F(k^2) = \frac{i}{k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}$. Result is $\langle f | (S - 1) | i \rangle$, so divide by $(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_F - p_I)$ to get $i\mathcal{A}_{fi}$.

If the diagram has no loops, the momentum conserving delta functions fix all internal momenta in terms of the external ones. When the diagram has $L \neq 0$ loops, the procedure above yields integrals over the internal momenta of the loops. (Note that if a diagram has I internal lines and V vertices, then there are I momentum integrals, and V momentum conserving delta functions; one of these becomes overall momentum conservation, so there are $L = I - (V - 1)$ momentum integrals left to do, and L is the number of loops in the diagram.) Any loop momentum integrals require renormalization, which we'll discuss later (next quarter), so for now we'll just consider "tree-level" contributions, associated with diagrams without loops, $L = 0$.

- Scattering by ϕ exchange leads to an attractive Yukawa potential. This was Yukawa's original goal, to explain the attraction between nucleons. Indeed, the t-channel term in e.g. the above $N + N$ scattering amplitude gives, upon using $(p_1 - p'_1)^2 - \mu^2 = -(|\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}'_1|^2 + \mu^2)$, and the Born approximation¹ in NRQM, $\mathcal{A}_{NR} = \int d^3\vec{r} e^{-i(\vec{p}' - \vec{p}) \cdot \vec{r}} V(\vec{r})$, the attractive Yukawa potential

$$V(r) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{-(g/2m)^2 e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}}}{|\vec{q}|^2 + \mu^2} = -\frac{(g/2m)^2}{4\pi r} e^{-\mu r}.$$

(The $1/(2m)^2$ is because we normalized the relativistic states with the extra factor of $2E \approx 2m$ as compared with standard nonrelativistic normalization². This gives Yukawa's explanation of the attraction between nucleons, from meson exchange. The u-channel term is an exchange potential interaction, which exchanges the positions of the two identical particles in addition to giving a potential. For angular momentum ℓ in a partial-wave expansion, the exchange term differs from the direct one by a factor of $(-1)^\ell$.

¹ Max Born, in QM, or Lord Rayleigh classically: $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \sim |U(\vec{q})|^2$

² This is clear on dimensional grounds, since $[g] \sim m$. More generally, write $a(p) = \sqrt{2E} \hat{a}(p)$ and $\mathcal{A} = \prod_i \sqrt{2E_i} \prod_f \sqrt{2E_f} \hat{\mathcal{A}}$.